

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,109		11/19/2001	Braj Bhushan Lohray	U 013701-9	3537	
140	7590	03/14/2005		EXAMINER		
LADAS &		ET.	KIFLE, BRUCK			
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1624	1624	
		·	DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/007,109	LOHRAY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	ecember 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are peṇding in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) 34 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers	•					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
,	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/17/04</u> .	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 12/20/04 have been received and reviewed.

Claim 34 is still pending in this application.

Correction of two typographical errors is required.

- i) In the third line of claim 34, the term "its" before "or" should be deleted.
- ii) In line 16, second group CONHMe should read CONHMe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanderhaeghe et al. (Journal of Organic Chemistry (1961), 26, 3827-3831) in view of Dunn et al. (5,622,948). The primary reference of Vanderhaeghe et al. teaches a compound corresponding to instant of formula (IIIe) wherein the leaving group at L¹ is p-toluene sulphonate (see compound X on page 3828 of the reference and also page 3831, second column, third paragraph). The claims differ by requiring the leaving group L¹ as methane sulfonate. The secondary reference of Dunn et al. teaches the equivalence of the leaving groups methane sulfonate and p-toluene sulfonate (see col. 20, lines 25-27). Therefore, one skilled in the art would be motivated to substitute any leaving group on the compound of Vanderhaeghe et al. with those thought by Dunn et al. and arrive at the instant claim because the secondary reference teaches the equivalence of leaving groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,109

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0668. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesdays to Fridays between 8:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,109 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

BK

March 9, 2005